



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025



No Joke... Two Security Maintainers Walk into a Cluster

Jackie Maertens and Nilekh Chaudhari, Microsoft

#KubeCon #CloudNativeCon

Cluster Inspectors



Jackie Maertens
Senior Software Engineer
Istio Security Maintainer
Co-Lead of Istio's PSWG



Nilekh Chaudhari
Senior Software Engineer
Azure Kubernetes Service (AKS)
Istio Contributor



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Security Goals

- Operate with principle of least privilege
- Protect the host
- Isolate environments
- Protect sensitive information
- Minimize open doors

Inspection Checklist



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

- ☐ Role Based Access Control (RBAC)
- ☐ Encryption at Rest
- ☐ Secret Management
- ☐ Network Policy
- ☐ Pod Security Standards
- ☐ Image Vulnerability



nilekhc / jaellio



Role Based Access Control (RBAC)



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Overview

- Controls *who* can access *what* in your Kubernetes cluster
- Roles are assigned to users, service accounts, and groups
- Follows the *principle of least privilege*
- Critical security mechanism, first line of defense
- Four key components: Roles, RoleBindings, ClusterRoles, ClusterRoleBindings



nilekhc / jaellio

Role Based Access Control (RBAC)



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Common Pitfalls

- **Binding cluster-admin to service accounts**
 - Complete cluster compromise from single pod breach
- **Using wildcard permissions (*)**
 - Grants access to all resources
- **Forgetting to remove test permissions**
 - Temporary debugging access becomes permanent
- **Using ClusterRolesBindings instead of RolesBindings**
 - Breaks namespace isolation, increases blast radius
- **Not disabling auto-mount of service account tokens**
 - Every pod gets credentials attackers can steal



nilekhc / jaellio

RBAC: The Mistake ✨

- i A developer creates a ServiceAccount for testing...
- i They bind it to cluster-admin for 'convenience'

→ examples |

Encryption at Rest



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Overview

- By default, Kubernetes stores Secrets in plain text in etcd
- Anyone with etcd access can read ALL your credentials
- Encryption at rest protects data before writing to etcd
- KMS (v2) uses external key management (AWS, Azure, GCP)
- Required for compliance



nilekhc / jaellio

Encryption at Rest



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Common Pitfalls

- **Forgetting to re-encrypt existing secrets**
 - Old secrets remain in plain text after enabling encryption
- **Losing the encryption key**
 - Lost key = permanent data loss for ALL secrets encrypted with that key
- **Using "identity" provider alone**
 - Provides zero encryption despite being in config
- **Using local keys in production**
 - Doesn't protect against node compromise
- **Not testing encryption**



nilekhc / jaellio

Secret Management



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Overview

- Native K8s Secrets exist in etcd, even with encryption
- Secrets Store CSI Driver retrieves secrets from external secrets stores
- Secrets are never stored in etcd, they're made available to pods only at creation time
- Supports Vault, AWS Secrets Manager, Azure Key Vault, GCP Secret Manager and many more
- Advanced features: automatic rotation, audit logs



nilekhc / jaellio

Secret Management



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Common Pitfalls

- **Mixing native Secrets with CSI driver**
 - Inconsistent security posture
- **Using environment variables for secrets**
 - Leaks through logs and crash dumps
- **Not enabling secret rotation**
 - Stale credentials remain valid indefinitely



nilekhc / jaellio

Checkpoint



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

- ☒ Role Based Access Control (RBAC)
- ☒ Encryption at rest
- ☒ Secret Management
- ☐ Network Policy
- ☐ Pod Security Standards
- ☐ Image Vulnerability



nilekhc / jaellio

NetworkPolicy



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Overview

- Define and control how pods communicate with other entities (pods or external services)
- By default, all pods can communicate with each other
- Fine-grained control over ingress and egress

```
1  apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
2  kind: NetworkPolicy
3  metadata:
4    name: example-network-policy
5  spec:
6    podSelector: # Selects pods the policy applies to
7      matchLabels:
8        role: db
9    policyTypes: # Define the types of traffic to be controlled
10     - Ingress
11     - Egress
12    ingress:
13      # Define allowed ingress traffic
14    egress:
15      # Define allowed egress traffic
```

```
ingress:
- from:
  - namespaceSelector:
      matchLabels:
        project: myProject
egress:
- to:
  - ipBlock:
      cidr: 10.0.0.0/24
```



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Common Pitfalls

- Misunderstanding defaults
- Forgetting egress rules
- Misconfiguring additive policies
- Using IPs instead of selectors
- Not testing policy



nilekhc / jaellio



Network Policy: The Mistake *

i A team deploys applications without any NetworkPolicy...

i Kubernetes default: ALL traffic is allowed!

i Demo pods were pre-created during cluster setup...

i Checking the pods...

→ examples ?

Pod Security Standards



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Overview

- Define security profiles for pods to control privileged capabilities and configurations
 - Privileged
 - Baseline
 - Restricted



nilekhc / jaellio

Pod Security Standards



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Capabilities/Settings to Restrict

- Namespace sharing
- Privileged escalation
- Linux capabilities
- Volume types
- Host port access
- Proc mounts
- Running as non root
- And more...



nilekhc / jaellio

Pod Security Standards



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Common Pitfalls

- Allowing overly permissive controls
- Not enforcing pod security standards

```
apiVersion: v1
kind: Pod
```

```
apiVersion: v1
kind: Namespace
metadata:
  name: my-baseline-namespace
  labels:
    pod-security.kubernetes.io/enforce: baseline # Required to enforce Baseline profile
    pod-security.kubernetes.io/enforce-version: v1.34
    pod-security.kubernetes.io/audit: restricted # Adds an audit annotation to Restricted profile violations
    pod-security.kubernetes.io/audit-version: v1.34
    pod-security.kubernetes.io/warn: restricted # Surfaces a user-facing warning on Restricted profile violations
    pod-security.kubernetes.io/warn-version: v1.34
```

Pod Security Standards: Production Incident 🚨

- i Security team discovered a privileged pod running in production...
- i A developer deployed a new payment service without proper security review
- i They needed some privileges but weren't sure which ones - so they added ALL of them



Image Vulnerability



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Overview

- Images provide portable, immutable, and reproducible application packages
- Improper image utilization and maintenance makes users vulnerable to attacks



nilekhc / jaellio

Image Vulnerability



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Common Pitfalls

- Utilizing outdated and unsupported images
- Referencing images by tag not digest
- Not utilizing vulnerability scanning tools
 - Trivy, Gype, and more



nilekhc / jaellio

Inspection Review



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

- ☒ Role Based Access Control (RBAC)
- ☒ Encryption at Rest
- ☒ Secret Management
- ☒ Network Policy
- ☒ Pod Security Standards
- ☒ Image Vulnerability



nilekhc / jaellio

What Next?



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

- Check out our guide on [GitHub](https://aka.ms/security-at-kubecon) at <https://aka.ms/security-at-kubecon>
- Review the [Kubernetes security checklist](#)
- Integrate security checklists and safeguards at each lifecycle stage
- Lookout for new projects and guidelines!
- Please take a moment to provide feedback



nilekhc / jaellio



KubeCon



CloudNativeCon

North America 2025

Thank you!