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Who’s involved in CF Governance?

Community (you!)

Committees & Support Team

Governance Panel
Governance bodies

Conventions Committee and Standard Names Committee
- Satai
- Jim Baird
- Philip Cameron-Smith
- David Blodgett
- Nan Gabraith
- John Graybeal
- Jonathan Gregory
- David Hassell (secretary of the Conventions Committee)
- Daniel Lee
- Roy Lowry
- Alison Parnment (secretary of Standard Names Committee)
- Martin Schultz
- Rich Signell
- Karl Taylor (chair of Conventions Committee)

Governance Panel
- Ethan Davis (chair)
- Jonathan Gregory
- Bryan Lawrence
- Daniel Lee
- Karl Taylor

Information Management and Support
- Martin Desruisseaux (Geomatys)
- Fran Eggelton (CEDA)
- John Graybeal (Stanford University)
- David Hassell (University of Reading)
- Rosalyn Hatcher (University of Reading)
- Richard Hattersley (UK Met Office)
- Mark Hedley (UK Met Office)
- Jeff Painter (LLNL)
- Alison Parnment (CEDA)
- Tanya Reshel (LLNL)

http://cfconventions.org/governance.html
Conventions & Standard Names Committees

- Committees have secretaries and a share a chair

- Members can self-nominate or be invited to 5 year renewable terms

- Support from a committee member is needed for proposals to change the CF Conventions to be adopted
Governance Panel

- Has a chair and representation from organisations making significant contributions to CF and the Conventions Committee
- Members are appointed by the panel
- Promotes the use of CF and stewards the governance processes used for maintaining the Conventions
What happens when change is needed?

- **Text form in** Rules
- **Fear not! This is actually pretty straightforward**
- **Streamlined: Errata**
- **Not shown: Check for consistency with data model**
Implementation in GitHub

• This is a snapshot, see the repository’s CONTRIBUTING.md for current rules

• Issue is discussed in a single issue

• Text proposal is a pull request

• Moderator volunteers to guide discussion

• After content of pull request is agreed, it is merged
Implementation in GitHub: Example

- **Blue sky discussion on Discuss**
  - Volunteers swarm and begin work via issue, telcon, etc.

- **Proposal issue on Conventions** – all discussion goes here

- **Linked pull request proposing text** – review of changes takes place here (diffs and artefacts available)

- After discussion is concluded, a successful proposal is merged for the next release

- Throughout: Guidelines in CONTRIBUTING.md should help you!
Not only the Conventions, but also the governance evolves.
Get involved!

- Ask questions, suggest new standard names, chew the fat
- Propose changes to the Conventions
- Propose improvements to governance processes